# **Is There NO Treatment For Severe Sepsis?**

Bredan AS<sup>1,2</sup> and Cauwels A<sup>1,2</sup>

**1** Department for Molecular Biomedical Research, VIB, Ghent, Belgium.

2 Department of Molecular Biology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

#### **Abstract**

Sepsis is a systemic inflammatory response syndrome in the presence of suspected or proven infection, and it may progress to or encompass organ failure (severe sepsis) and hypotension (septic shock). Clinicians possess an arsenal of supportive measures to combat severe sepsis and septic shock, and some success, albeit controversial, has been achieved by using low doses of corticosteroids or recombinant human activated protein C. However, a truly effective mediator-directed specific treatment has not been developed yet. Treatment with low doses of corticosteroids or with recombinant human activated protein C remains controversial and its success very limited. Attempts to treat shock by blocking LPS, TNF or IL-1 were unsuccessful, as were attempts to use interferon-gamma or granulocyte colony stimulating factor. Inhibiting nitric oxide synthases held promise but met with considerable difficulties. Scavenging excess nitric oxide or targeting molecules downstream of inducible nitric oxide synthase, such as soluble guanylate cyclase or potassium channels, might offer other alternatives.

Key words: sepsis, NOS, nitric oxide, corticosteroids, protein C

#### Introduction

Sepsis may be defined as a systemic inflammatory response syndrome in the presence of suspected or proven infection. It is classified as severe sepsis if there is organ dysfunction, and as septic shock if severe shock is associated with hypotension despite fluid resuscitation. Sepsis is initiated by severe infections and precipitated by interactions between the pathogenic organism, the host immune and inflammatory responses, and coagulation processes [1]. The mortality rate from severe sepsis is 25-30% [2] and from septic shock it is 40-70% [3]. Though the rate of mortality due to septic shock has declined from 62% in the first part of the twentieth century to 56% by the year 2000 [4], its incidence has been rising [5,6]. Factors that could contribute to this rise include the increase in life expectancy and in the number of immunocompromised individuals, the more widespread invasive medical procedures immunosuppressive therapy, the increase in microbial resistance, and the rising incidence of infection due to organisms other than bacteria. Though part of the reported increase in septic shock could be an artifact of the improvement in recognition and recording of the condition [7,8], mortality rates remain unacceptably high. Despite intense research, progress in therapy has clearly been inadequate, and it is for good reason that clinical trials on sepsis have been called "the graveyard of pharmaceutical companies." An arsenal of supportive measures is used to treat septic shock, but specific treatment that targets mediators of shock relies mostly on corticosteroids and recombinant human activated protein C [9,10].

### **Mediator-directed treatment**

Because a runaway inflammatory response is a major aspect of sepsis, most prospective therapies targeted mediators of inflammation. However, most strategies failed to improve survival in clinical trials, as described in a review [11]. One of the earliest therapeutic targets was the endotoxin of Gram-negative bacteria (LPS), but clinical trials employing blockade of LPS with specific antibodies failed to show significant benefit [12]. Another approach

tried to capitalize on the anti-inflammatory properties of corticosteroids by administering large doses to counteract the runaway immune responses [13], but a later study failed to demonstrate significant benefits for this approach [14]. By contrast, prolonged administration of low doses of corticosteroids as a hormonal replacement therapy to compensate for the lowered level of cortisol in many sepsis patients were recently shown to be beneficial [5].

Another well-known target is the potent proinflammatory cytokine,  $\overline{\text{TNF}}$ , which is elevated in sepsis. Though animal experiments were promising, clinical trials failed to show any benefit for this approach [15,16]. Blocking IL-1 suffered a similar fate [17]. Attempts to reverse the immune suppression that occurs in sepsis by using interferon (IFN)-y or granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) were also unsuccessful [18]. Yet another approach targeted the crosstalk between the coagulation and inflammatory systems; however, clinical trials using TF (tissue factor) antagonists, tissue factorpathway inhibitor (TFPI) [19,20], or antithrombin (AT)-III [21] could not demonstrate significant benefit.

# **Corticosteroids**

The anti-inflammatory and hemodynamic effects of corticosteroids (glucocorticoids) have been known for a long time. They inhibit the production of inflammatory cytokines, prostaglandins, leukotrienes, and nitric oxide. Indeed, one of the homeostatic physiologic responses to sepsis is an increased level of stress hormones such as cortisol (though reduced responsiveness to corticotropin is also frequent). However, a meta-analysis of clinical trials showed that large doses of corticosteroids, despite their strong anti-inflammatory action, do not improve survival but may actually be harmful [22]. From these findings, and from the knowledge that adrenal insufficiency is part of sepsis, developed the notion of using physiologic doses of corticosteroids as adrenal replacement therapy in infection, sepsis, and septic shock.

A systematic review of clinical trials [5] concluded that long courses of low dose corticosteroids reduce mortality.



(ljm)

Another systematic study [23] recommended low dose corticosteroids for septic shock and counseled against high doses, except perhaps in some specific conditions; corticosteroids were not recommended for sepsis in the absence of shock. It is noteworthy that corticosteroid treatment was shown to be more beneficial for the more severely ill patients [24]. However, the use of corticosteroids in shock remained controversial [11]. The results of the recent CORTICUS trial, an international, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled study, concluded that corticosteroid treatment did not reduce mortality, irrespective of ACTH responsiveness [25]. Though that report stated that the use of hydrocortisone was not associated with a higher incidence of superinfection, a more recent examination has revealed more superinfections and new sepsis and septic shock in steroid treated patients (Charles Sprung, personal communication).

#### Activated protein C

Pro-inflammatory cytokines released in response to infection can initiate coagulation by activating tissue factor. Thrombin, which converts fibrinogen to fibrin and performs other functions in the coagulation process, can stimulate several inflammatory pathways, and moreover, coagulation and inflammation reciprocally amplify each other [26], potentially leading to organ failure.

Protein C is an important physiological anticoagulant [27]. Once activated by the thrombin-thrombomodulin complex, it acts to inhibit blood coagulation by proteolytically inactivating factors Va and VIIIa [28]. Moreover, activated protein C (APC) inhibits inflammatory cytokine production and limits the rolling of monocytes and neutrophils on injured endothelium [29]. APC is downregulated in sepsis, [30], which implies that it could be a useful treatment.

One landmark in the quest for a treatment for severe sepsis was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial, known as the PROWESS trial, conducted on 1690 sepsis patients who were at high risk of death [2]. This trial showed that rhAPC (recombinant human APC) reduces the absolute risk of death by 6.1%, and that although treatment was associated with a greater risk of bleeding, the benefits outweigh the increased bleeding risks. This study, however, has been criticized on methodological grounds [31,32]; the efficacy of rhAPC and the risk of bleeding have also been questioned [31-33]. Quite likely it is for these reasons that treatment with rhAPC has not been widely adopted by physicians [10], not to mention its extremely high cost [10,11]. Noteworthy is that rhAPC should not be used for adults with a low risk of death [34], and a recent, large, randomized, placebo-controlled study showed no benefit for rhAPC in children [35]. A very recent analysis [36] of several clinical trials on rhAPC did not come out in support of this treatment. It concluded that even in severely ill adult sepsis patients at high risk of death, for whom this treatment is approved, there is only weak evidence to support its use.

Despite this controversy, rhAPC remains on the table, and an animal study published during the preparation of this manuscript gave indications that showed that the bleeding risk associated with this therapy may be overcome. By using a variant of APC with greatly reduced anticoagulant properties in a mouse model of sepsis, mortality was reduced without increasing the risk of bleeding [37]. Further research is needed to determine whether this strategy would be effective in humans.

## **Targeting nitric oxide synthases**

Following the discovery that nitric oxide is an important endogenous regulator of vascular tone [38-40], its importance in inflammatory and septic shock became evident. This highly reactive radical is produced by three different nitric oxide synthases (NOS). Neuronal nitric oxide (nNOS) and endothelial nitric oxide (eNOS) are constitutive enzymes that function in homeostatic processes such as neurotransmission and vascular tone, respectively, by producing small amounts of NO in response to increases in intracellular calcium. In contrast, iNOS is an inducible enzyme that is usually synthesized only in response to inflammation. Unlike the other two NOS, iNOS produces large amounts of NO for long periods of time [41].

Because NO is produced from L-arginine, its production can be inhibited by competitive L-arginine analogues, such NG-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA), NG-nitro-Larginine (L-NNA) and NG-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME). NOS inhibitors can prevent, revert, or at least minimize hypotension in shock induced by LPS, TNF, IL-1, IL-2 or hemorrhage [42-47]. NOS inhibition also elevates blood pressure and systemic vascular resistance in septic shock patients [48-51]. But NOS inhibition has limited therapeutic potential because it is associated with a progressive fall in cardiac output, amplified organ dysfunction, and even increased mortality [51-56]. In one phase III clinical trial, NOS inhibition increased mortality in septic patients despite its beneficial effects on blood pressure and vascular resistance [49]. General inhibition of NOS could be inappropriate for treatment of septic shock because eNOS might provide some protection against shock. This is clearly shown by the finding that transgenic expression of eNOS can partially protect mice against endotoxemia and polymicrobial sepsis [57,58]. This dichotomous effect of NO places a hurdle in the way of developing NOS inhibitors as a treatment for shock, and so attempts were made to overcome it by using specific iNOS inhibitors.

The effects of specific iNOS inhibition on organ function are somewhat controversial, but it does not seem to lead to deleterious effects of the same degree as those caused by general NOS inhibition. Moreover, iNOS inhibition prevented or reverted circulatory failure in all the reports [59]. Unfortunately, though iNOS inhibition seemed a promising therapeutic strategy, experiments on iNOS-deficient mice indicated otherwise. Not only were these mice not protected against endotoxemia, sepsis or TNF-induced shock, they even suffered higher mortality rates in some studies [60-64].

Clearly, iNOS inhibitors and iNOS deficiency do not have the same effects. It is conceivable that during iNOS inhibition the anti-apoptotic or anti-oxidative effects of some residual NO may provide some benefit [65,66]. Alternatively, iNOS inhibitors could have additional



pharmacological effects unrelated to iNOS inhibition, as exemplified by the anti-oxidative effects of S-methylisothiourea [67], and the inhibition of catalase by aminoguanidine [68], both of which are iNOS inhibitors.

Simple specific iNOS inhibition does not seem to be a valid approach to treating shock, because it does not always diminish organ damage or mortality in experimental endotoxic or septic shock. Thus it would be more reasonable to selectively modulate the downstream targets of NO that play important roles in the hypotensive effects of NO.

## **Scavenging NO**

An alternative to inhibiting iNOS, especially that no specific inhibitor for it is available, is to scavenge excess NO. This could also have the advantage of preserving some NO at its production locations, where it can perform normal physiological functions. Several NO scavengers have been evaluated with some positive results in different animal models of shock [69-72]. One interesting NO scavenger, pyridoxalated hemoglobin polyoxyethylene (PHP), has been evaluated in distributive shock. Because the study recruited SIRS patients while excluding those with significant cardiac disease, burns or trauma, and those who were at risk of dying shortly from an underlying condition, the results are relevant to sepsis. In that study, PHP raised blood pressure and reduced vasopressor and ventilation needs without causing organ damage or adversely effecting cardiac output or survival [73]. PHP has entered phase III clinical trials and the results are expected to be published soon (Gary Kinasewitz, personal communication).

## Targets downstream of iNOS

#### Soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC)

Binding of NO to sGC, considered its main cardiovascular "receptor", leads to accumulation of cGMP, which in turn leads to vascular relaxation, myocardial depression, and inhibition of platelet aggregation and adhesion [74]. This indicates that the cGMP pathway might be a potential target for treatment of shock. Inhibiting cGMP production with methylene blue (MB) protects mice against experimental shock induced by TNF [61], but not against endotoxemia [75]. Analogously, infusion of MB in humans suffering septic shock reverses hypotension, but does not change the overall mortality rate [76-79]. It has been speculated that its protective effects could be due to effects on oxidative stress that are not sGC-dependent [65].

# K+ channels

K+ channels play an important role in regulating membrane potential, and thus hyperpolarization and relaxation of vascular smooth muscle cells. Because NO may activate K+ channels both sGC-dependently and sGC-independently [80-83], inhibition of K+ channels might offer an alternative strategy for treatment of shock.

In the vasculature, the most important K+ channel subclasses that are involved in the action of various endothelium-derived relaxing factors are the ATP-sensitive KATP channel and the large conductance calcium-activated BK channel. KATP channels have long been

suspected of playing the most important role in septic shock [84,85]. They are activated by decreased ATP, increased lactate, and acidosis, all of which characterize sepsis. In addition, they may also be activated by NO, prostacyclin (PGI2), and the recently identified vasodilator, H2S [83,84,86]. In many endotoxic animal models, inhibiting KATP by parenteral glibenclamide could partially return hypotension and vascular hyporesponsiveness to normal [87-90]. However, glibenclamide did not restore responsiveness in another animal study [91], or in a recent clinical trial [92]. Failure in the clinical trial might have been due to administration of the drug by the enteric route, or to the mild lactic acidosis in the enrolled patients [93]. In any event, these results indicate that KATP inhibition might not be the solution for septic shock.

BK channels are probably the most important channels involved in NO-dependent vascular relaxation [82,83]. NO may activate BK channels sGC-dependently through phosphorylation by cGMP-dependent protein kinase, as well as directly via S-nitrosation without requiring cGMP [81,94,95]. BK channels are also targeted by other potential vasodilators, including H2O2 and epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs) [96-98]. These results indicate that inhibiting BK channels could be useful in treatment of shock. Unfortunately, most of the few published animal studies have not used specific BKinhibitors, but rather tetraethylammonium (TEA), a nonspecific inhibitor of BK, KATP and certain voltage-gated KV channels. Though TEA neither improved blood pressure nor decreased mortality in one animal study [99], it successfully restored vascular responsiveness in another [91], and in an experimental human endotoxemia study [100]. But very recently Cauwels et al., using various inhibitors, including iberiotoxin and apamin, which are specific for BK channels and small conductance calciumdependent SK channels, respectively, showed that both of these channels are involved in mouse models of TNF- and LPS-induced shock [75]. This indicates that these channels could be potential targets for treatment of septic shock.

## **Conclusions**

Despite decades of research, there have been very few mediator-specific treatments that consistently improve survival of sepsis patients. Corticosteroids and activated protein C have been in clinical use and are claimed to save lives, but considerable controversy surrounds their efficacy and side effects. Clinicians still have to rely in most cases on conventional supportive measures to save patients' lives. High hopes were set for NOS inhibition, but this approach was not successful. Inhibition of iNOS may provide better results, but more specific iNOS inhibitors would be needed before this approach can be tested in clinical trials. Alternatively, NO scavengers such as PHP could be considered for more testing in animals and in human trials as scavengers of excessive NO. Otherwise, focus can be shifted to downstream targets of NO instead of trying to interfere with production of NO, which is clearly a Janus-faced molecule in septic shock.

#### References

- 1. Hotchkiss RS, Karl IE. The pathophysiology and treatment of sepsis. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348:138-50.
- 2. Bernard GR, Vincent JL, Laterre PF, et al. Efficacy and safety of recombinant human activated protein c for severe sepsis. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344:699-709.





- 3. Annane D, Aegerter P, Jars-Guincestre MC, Guidet B. Current epidemiology of septic shock: The cub-rea network. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003; 168:165-72.
- 4. Annane D, Bellissant E, Cavaillon JM. Septic shock. Lancet. 2005; 365:63-78.
- 5. Annane D, Bellissant E, Bollaert PE, Briegel J, Keh D, Kupfer Y. Corticosteroids for severe sepsis and septic shock: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2004; 329:480-9.
- 6. Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S, Moss M. The epidemiology of sepsis in the united states from 1979 through 2000. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348:1546-54.
- 7. Hsia DC, Krushat WM, Fagan AB, Tebbutt JA, Kusserow RP. Accuracy of diagnostic coding for medicare patients under the prospective-payment system. N Engl J Med. 1988; 318:352-5.
- 8. Iezzoni LI. Assessing quality using administrative data Ann Intern Med. 1997; 127:666-74.
- 9. Riedemann NC, Guo RF, Ward PA. Novel strategies for the treatment of sepsis. Nat Med. 2003; 9:517-24.
- 10. Nguyen HB, Rivers EP, Abrahamian FM, et al. Severe sepsis and septic shock: Review of the literature and emergency department management guidelines. Ann Emerg Med. 2006; 48:28-54.
- 11. Russell JA. Management of sepsis. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355:1699-713.
- 12. Ziegler EJ, Fisher CJ, Jr., Sprung CL, et al. Treatment of gramnegative bacteremia and septic shock with ha-1a human monoclonal antibody against endotoxin. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. The ha-1a sepsis study group. N Engl J Med. 1991; 324:429-36.
- 13. Bennet IL, Finland M. The effectiveness of hydrocortisone in the management of severe infections: Cooperative study group. JAMA. 1963; 183:462-5.
- 14. Lefering R, Neugebauer EA. Steroid controversy in sepsis and septic shock: A meta-analysis. Crit Care Med. 1995; 23:1294-303.
- 15. Abraham E, Laterre PF, Garbino J, et al. Lenercept (p55 tumor necrosis factor receptor fusion protein) in severe sepsis and early septic shock: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter phase iii trial with 1,342 patients. Crit Care Med. 2001; 29:503-10.
- 16. Abraham E, Wunderink R, Silverman H, et al. Efficacy and safety of monoclonal antibody to human tumor necrosis factor alpha in patients with sepsis syndrome. A randomized, controlled, double-blind, multicenter clinical trial. Tnf-alpha mab sepsis study group. JAMA. 1995; 273:934-41.
- 17. Fisher CJ, Jr., Dhainaut JF, Opal SM, et al. Recombinant human interleukin 1 receptor antagonist in the treatment of patients with sepsis syndrome. Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Phase iii rhil-1ra sepsis syndrome study group. Jama. 1994; 271:1836-43.
- 18. Vincent JL, Sun Q, Dubois MJ. Clinical trials of immunomodulatory therapies in severe sepsis and septic shock. Clin Infect Dis. 2002; 34:1084-93.
- 19. Abraham E, Reinhart K, Opal S, et al. Efficacy and safety of tifacogin (recombinant tissue factor pathway inhibitor) in severe sepsis: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2003; 290:238-47.
- 20. Carraway MS, Welty-Wolf KE, Miller DL, et al. Blockade of tissue factor: Treatment for organ injury in established sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003; 167:1200-9.
- 21. Warren BL, Eid A, Singer P, et al. Caring for the critically ill patient. High-dose antithrombin iii in severe sepsis: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2001; 286:1869-78.
- 22. Minneci PC, Deans KJ, Banks SM, Eichacker PQ, Natanson C. Meta-analysis: The effect of steroids on survival and shock during sepsis depends on the dose. Ann Intern Med. 2004; 141:47-56.
- 23. Keh D, Sprung CL. Use of corticosteroid therapy in patients with sepsis and septic shock: An evidence-based review. Crit Care Med. 2004; 32:S527-33.
- 24. Deans KJ, Haley M, Natanson C, Eichacker PQ, Minneci PC. Novel therapies for sepsis: A review. J Trauma. 2005; 58:867-74.
- 25. Sprung CL, Annane D, Briegel J, et al. Corticosteroid therapy of septic shock (corticus). Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007; 175:A507.
- 26. Choi G, Schultz MJ, Levi M, van der Poll T. The relationship between inflammation and the coagulation system. Swiss Med Wkly. 2006; 136:139-44.
- 27. Esmon CT. The protein c anticoagulant pathway. Arterioscler Thromb. 1992; 12:135-45.
- 28. Gresele P, Momi S, Berrettini M, et al. Activated human protein c prevents thrombin-induced thromboembolism in mice. Evidence that activated protein c reduces intravascular fibrin accumulation through

- the inhibition of additional thrombin generation. J Clin Invest. 1998; 101:667-76.
- 29. Dettenmeier P, Swindell B, Stroud M, Arkins N, Howard A. Role of activated protein c in the pathophysiology of severe sepsis. Am J Crit Care. 2003; 12:518-24.
- 30. De Pont AC, Bakhtiari K, Hutten BA, et al. Recombinant human activated protein c resets thrombin generation in patients with severe sepsis a case control study. Crit Care. 2005; 9:R490-7.
- 31. Friedrich JO, Adhikari NK, Meade MO. Drotrecogin alfa (activated): Does current evidence support treatment for any patients with severe sepsis? Crit Care. 2006; 10:145.
- 32. Warren HS, Suffredini AF, Eichacker PQ, Munford RS. Risks and benefits of activated protein c treatment for severe sepsis. N Engl J Med. 2002; 347:1027-30.
- 33. Eichacker PQ, Natanson C. Increasing evidence that the risks of rhapc may outweigh its benefits. Intensive Care Med. 2007; 33:396-9.
- 34. Abraham E, Laterre PF, Garg R, et al. Drotrecogin alfa (activated) for adults with severe sepsis and a low risk of death. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353:1332-41.
- Engl J Med. 2005; 353:1332-41.

  35. Nadel S, Goldstein B, Williams MD, et al. Drotrecogin alfa (activated) in children with severe sepsis: A multicentre phase iii randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2007; 369:836-43.
- 36. Marti-Carvajal A, Salanti G, Cardona A. Human recombinant activated protein c for severe sepsis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007; CD004388.
- 37. Kerschen EJ, Fernandez JA, Cooley BC, et al. Endotoxemia and sepsis mortality reduction by non-anticoagulant activated protein C. J Exp Med. 2007; 204:2439-48.
- 38. Furchgott RF, Zawadzki JV. The obligatory role of endothelial cells in the relaxation of arterial smooth muscle by acetylcholine. Nature. 1980; 288:373-6.
- 39. Ignarro LJ, Buga GM, Wood KS, Byrns RE, Chaudhuri G. Endothelium-derived relaxing factor produced and released from artery and vein is nitric oxide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1987; 84:9265-9.
- 40. almer RM, Ferrige AG, Moncada S. Nitric oxide release accounts for the biological activity of endothelium-derived relaxing factor. Nature. 1987; 327:524-6.
- 41. Lowenstein CJ, Michel T. What's in a name? Enos and anaphylactic shock. J Clin Invest. 2006; 116:2075-8.
- 42. Kilbourn RG, Fonseca GA, Griffith OW, et al. Ng-methyl-larginine, an inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase, reverses interleukin-2-induced hypotension. Crit Care Med. 1995; 23:1018-24.
- 43. Kilbourn RG, Gross SS, Jubran A, et al. Ng-methyl-l-arginine inhibits tumor necrosis factor-induced hypotension: Implications for the involvement of nitric oxide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990; 87:3629-32.
- 44. Kilbourn RG, Jubran A, Gross SS, et al. Reversal of endotoxin-mediated shock by ng-methyl-l-arginine, an inhibitor of nitric oxide synthesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1990; 172:1132-8.
- 45. Kilbourn RG, Gross SS, Lodato RF, et al. Inhibition of interleukin-1-alpha-induced nitric oxide synthase in vascular smooth muscle and full reversal of interleukin-1-alpha-induced hypotension by n omega-amino-l-arginine. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1992; 84:1008-16.
- 46. Thiemermann C, Szabo C, Mitchell JA, Vane JR. Vascular hyporeactivity to vasoconstrictor agents and hemodynamic decompensation in hemorrhagic shock is mediated by nitric oxide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1993; 90:267-71.
- 47. Thiemermann C, Vane J. Inhibition of nitric oxide synthesis reduces the hypotension induced by bacterial lipopolysaccharides in the rat in vivo. Eur J Pharmacol. 1990; 182:591-5.
- 48. Avontuur JA, Tutein Nolthenius RP, van Bodegom JW, Bruining HA. Prolonged inhibition of nitric oxide synthesis in severe septic shock: A clinical study. Crit Care Med. 1998; 26:660-7.
- 49. Lopez A, Lorente JA, Steingrub J, et al. Multiple-center, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of the nitric oxide synthase inhibitor 546c88: Effect on survival in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2004; 32:21-30.
- 50. Petros A, Bennett D, Vallance P. Effect of nitric oxide synthase inhibitors on hypotension in patients with septic shock. Lancet. 1991; 338:1557-8.
- 51. Petros A, Lamb G, Leone A, Moncada S, Bennett D, Vallance P. Effects of a nitric oxide synthase inhibitor in humans with septic shock. Cardiovasc Res. 1994; 28:34-9.
- 52. Cobb JP, Natanson C, Hoffman WD, et al. N omega-amino-larginine, an inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase, raises vascular



- resistance but increases mortality rates in awake canines challenged with endotoxin. J Exp Med. 1992; 176:1175-82.
- 53. Klabunde RE, Ritger RC. Ng-monomethyl-l-arginine (nma) restores arterial blood pressure but reduces cardiac output in a canine model of endotoxic shock. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1991; 178:1135-40.
- 54. Liaudet L, Rosselet A, Schaller MD, Markert M, Perret C, Feihl F. Nonselective versus selective inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthase in experimental endotoxic shock. J Infect Dis. 1998; 177:127-32.
- 55. Shultz PJ, Raij L. Endogenously synthesized nitric oxide prevents endotoxin-induced glomerular thrombosis. J Clin Invest. 1992; 90:1718-25.
- 56. Teale DM, Atkinson AM. L-canavanine restores blood pressure in a rat model of endotoxic shock. Eur J Pharmacol. 1994; 271:87-92.
- 57. Ichinose F, Buys ES, Neilan TG, et al. Cardiomyocyte-specific overexpression of nitric oxide synthase 3 prevents myocardial dysfunction in murine models of septic shock. Circ Res. 2007; 100:130-9.
- 58. Yamashita T, Kawashima S, Ohashi Y, et al. Resistance to endotoxin shock in transgenic mice overexpressing endothelial nitric oxide synthase. Circulation. 2000; 101:931-7.
- 59. Feihl F, Waeber B, Liaudet L. Is nitric oxide overproduction the target of choice for the management of septic shock? Pharmacol Ther. 2001; 91:179-213.
- 60. Cauwels A, Bultinck J, Brouckaert P. Dual role of endogenous nitric oxide in tumor necrosis factor shock: Induced NO tempers oxidative stress. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2005; 62:1632-40.
- 61. Cauwels A, Van Molle W, Janssen B, et al. Protection against TNF-induced lethal shock by soluble guanylate cyclase inhibition requires functional inducible nitric oxide synthase. Immunity. 2000; 13:223-31.
- 62. Cobb JP, Hotchkiss RS, Swanson PE, et al. Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) gene deficiency increases the mortality of sepsis in mice. Surgery. 1999; 126:438-42.
- 63. Laubach VE, Foley PL, Shockey KS, Tribble CG, Kron IL. Protective roles of nitric oxide and testosterone in endotoxemia: Evidence from NOS-2-deficient mice. Am J Physiol. 1998; 275:H2211-8
- 64. Nicholson SC, Grobmyer SR, Shiloh MU, et al. Lethality of endotoxin in mice genetically deficient in the respiratory burst oxidase, inducible nitric oxide synthase, or both. Shock. 1999; 11:253-8.
  - 65. Cauwels A. Nitric oxide in shock. Kidney Int. 2007; 72:557-95.
- 66. Li CQ, Wogan GN. Nitric oxide as a modulator of apoptosis. Cancer Lett. 2005; 226:1-15.
- 67. Afulukwe IF, Cohen RI, Zeballos GA, Iqbal M, Scharf SM. Selective NOS inhibition restores myocardial contractility in endotoxemic rats; however, myocardial NO content does not correlate with myocardial dysfunction. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000; 162:21-6.
- $68.\ Nilsson\ BO.\ Biological\ effects\ of\ aminoguanidine: An update. Inflamm\ Res.\ 1999;\ 48:509-15.$
- 69. Bone HG, Fischer SR, Schenarts PJ, McGuire R, Traber LD, Traber DL. Continuous infusion of pyridoxalated hemoglobin polyoxyethylene conjugate in hyperdynamic septic sheep. Shock. 1998; 10:69-76.
- 70. Miura K, Yamanaka S, Ebara T, et al. Effects of nitric oxide scavenger, carboxy-PTIO on endotoxin-induced alterations in systemic hemodynamics in rats. Jpn J Pharmacol. 2000; 82:261-4.
- 71. Dickinson E, Tuncer R, Nadler E, et al. NOX, a novel nitric oxide scavenger, reduces bacterial translocation in rats after endotoxin challenge. Am J Physiol. 1999; 277:G1281-7.
- 72. Yoshida M, Akaike T, Wada Y, et al. Therapeutic effects of imidazolineoxyl n-oxide against endotoxin shock through its direct nitric oxide-scavenging activity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1994; 202:923-30.
- 73. Kinasewitz G, Malcynski J, Steingrub Jea, Balk R, De Angelo J. Pyridoxalated hemoglobin polyoxyethylene (PHP) in distributive shock. Crit Care Med. 2004; 32(Suppl 12):A11.
- 74. Lucas KA, Pitari GM, Kazerounian S, et al. Guanylyl cyclases and signaling by cyclic gmp. Pharmacol Rev. 2000; 52:375-414.
- 75. Cauwels A, Brouckaert P. Critical role for small and large conductance calcium-dependent potassium channels in endotoxemia and TNF toxicity. Shock. 2007; Publish Ahead of Print Sept, 13.

  76. Donati A, Preiser JC. Methylene blue: An old-timer or a
- 76. Donati A, Preiser JC. Methylene blue: An old-timer or a compound ready for revival? Crit Care Med. 2006; 34:2862-3.

- 77. Donati A, Conti G, Loggi S, et al. Does methylene blue administration to septic shock patients affect vascular permeability and blood volume? Crit Care Med. 2002; 30:2271-7.
- 78. Kirov MY, Evgenov OV, Evgenov NV, et al. Infusion of methylene blue in human septic shock: A pilot, randomized, controlled study. Crit Care Med. 2001; 29:1860-7.
- 79. Preiser JC, Lejeune P, Roman A, et al. Methylene blue administration in septic shock: A clinical trial. Crit Care Med. 1995;23:259-64.
- 80. Ahern GP, Klyachko VA, Jackson MB. cGMP and S-nitrosylation: Two routes for modulation of neuronal excitability by NO. Trends Neurosci. 2002; 25:510-7.
- 81. Bolotina VM, Najibi S, Palacino JJ, Pagano PJ, Cohen RA. Nitric oxide directly activates calcium-dependent potassium channels in vascular smooth muscle. Nature. 1994; 368:850-3.
- 82. Tanaka Y, Koike K, Toro L. Maxik channel roles in blood vessel relaxations induced by endothelium-derived relaxing factors and their molecular mechanisms. J Smooth Muscle Res. 2004; 40:125-53.
- 83. Waldron GJ, Cole WC. Activation of vascular smooth muscle K+ channels by endothelium-derived relaxing factors. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 1999; 26:180-4.
- 84. Landry DW, Oliver JA. The pathogenesis of vasodilatory shock. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345:588-95.
- 85. Buckley JF, Singer M, Clapp LH. Role of KATP channels in sepsis. Cardiovasc Res. 2006; 72:220-30.
- 86. Bhatia M. Hydrogen sulfide as a vasodilator. IUBMB Life. 2005;57:603-6.
- 87. Landry DW, Oliver JA. The ATP-sensitive K+ channel mediates hypotension in endotoxemia and hypoxic lactic acidosis in dog. J Clin Invest. 1992;89: 2071-4.
- 88. Sorrentino R, d'Emmanuele di Villa Bianca R, Lippolis L, Sorrentino L, Autore G, Pinto A. Involvement of ATP-sensitive potassium channels in a model of a delayed vascular hyporeactivity induced by lipopolysaccharide in rats. Br J Pharmacol. 1999; 127:1447-53.
- 89. Vanelli G, Hussain SN, Aguggini G. Glibenclamide, a blocker of ATP-sensitive potassium channels, reverses endotoxin-induced hypotension in pig. Exp Physiol. 1995; 80:167-70.
- 90. Wu CC, Thiemermann C, Vane JR. Glibenclamide-induced inhibition of the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase in cultured macrophages and in the anaesthetized rat. Br J Pharmacol. 1995; 114:1273-81.
- 91. da Silva-Santos JE, Terluk MR, Assreuy J. Differential involvement of guanylate cyclase and potassium channels in nitric oxide-induced hyporesponsiveness to phenylephrine in endotoxemic rats. Shock. 2002; 17:70-6.
- 92. Warrillow S, Egi M, Bellomo R. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover pilot study of a potassium channel blocker in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2006; 34:980-5.
- 93. Oliver JA, Landry DW. Potassium channels and septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2006; 34:1255-7.
- 94. Robertson BE, Schubert R, Hescheler J, Nelson MT. cGMP-dependent protein kinase activates Ca-activated K channels in cerebral artery smooth muscle cells. Am J Physiol. 1993; 265:C299-303.
- 95. Wanstall JC, Homer KL, Doggrell SA. Evidence for, and importance of, cGMP-independent mechanisms with NO and NO donors on blood vessels and platelets. Curr Vasc Pharmacol. 2005; 3:41-53.
- 96. Archer SL, Gragasin FS, Wu X, et al. Endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor in human internal mammary artery is 11,12-epoxyeicosatrienoic acid and causes relaxation by activating smooth muscle BK(Ca) channels. Circulation. 2003; 107:769-76.
- 97. Ellis A, Triggle CR. Endothelium-derived reactive oxygen species: Their relationship to endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization and vascular tone. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 2003; 81:1013-28.
- 98. Feletou M, Vanhoutte PM. Endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor: Where are we now? Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2006; 26:1215-25.
- 99. Clayton NP, LeDuc BW, Kelly LJ. Effect of potassium channel and cytochrome p450 inhibition on transient hypotension and survival during lipopolysaccharide-induced endotoxic shock in the rat. Pharmacology. 2005; 73:113-20.
- 100. Pickkers P, Dorresteijn MJ, Bouw MP, van der Hoeven JG, Smits P. In vivo evidence for nitric oxide-mediated calcium-activated potassium-channel activation during human endotoxemia. Circulation. 2006;114:414-21

